JAMES MONTGOMERY IN THE CHURCH OF TO-DAY

By Erik Routley

I neither wish to repeat what I wrote of Montgomery nearly twenty-five years ago (published in I'll Praise my Maker, 1951) nor to anticipate what may be said at our Summer Conference of him. I thought it worth while, however, to offer a few simple facts about his hymns as they appear to be in use at present. I have examined seventeen current hymn books, eleven English and six American. These are the English Hymnal, the Church Hymnary, the Methodist Hymn Book (with HS 1969 counting as one book) Hymns Ancient and Modern, the B.B.C. Hymn Book, Congregational Praise, Hymns of the Faith, The Baptist Hymnal, Hymns for Church and School and the Anglican Hymn Book: with these from America—The Hymnal (1940), The Pilgrim Hymnal, The Hymnal (Presbyterian) and the Methodist Hymnal, all their latest editions, and the Canadian Hymnal and Hymn Book (Episcopal), which are shortly to be conflated in one new united hymnal for Canada.

I found that in these seventeen hymn books there is one hymn of Montgomery's which does not miss a single one: that is 'Hail to the Lord's Anointed.' For good measure, it is one of the two of
his included in the Parish Hymnal. There is one hymn which is missed by only one book: ‘Angels from the realms of glory’ did not get into the English Hymnal. The next most popular is ‘Prayer is the soul’s sincere desire’, which misses three, and then come ‘Stand up and bless the Lord’, missing four, and ‘Pour out thy Spirit’, missing five. In all these seventeen books, 31 hymns of Congregational use, and they are distributed as follows:

Congregational Praise (1951) ... 22 (17)
The Anglican Hymn Book (1955) ... 17 (15)
Hymns of Faith (1964) ... 16 (15)
The Hymn Book (Canadian Episcopal 1938) ... 16 (12)
Methodist Hymn Book (1933) ... 15 (9)
Church Hymnary (1927) ... 15 (13)
Baptist Hymn Book (1932) ... 14 (11)
The Hymnal (1940) ... 14 (14)
The Hymnary (Canadian United 1930) ... 12 (12)
Hymns Ancient and Modern (1930) ... 11 (10)
BBC Hymn Book (1951) ... 11 (13)
Pilgrim Hymnal (1958) ... 11 (11)
Methodist Hymnal (USA 1966) ... 10 (11)
English Hymnal (1906) ... 9 (10)
The Hymnal (Presbyterian USA 1955) ... 9 (10)
Songs of Praise (1931) ... 8 (7)
Hymns for Church and School (1964) ... 7 (12)

(The figures in brackets roughly indicate the weight given to Montgomery's hymns by expressing the proportion on the basis of a hymn book of 600 hymns).

A modern 'Julian' could therefore claim that about 31 of Montgomery's hymns are in 'common use'. I expect it could be shown not only that the hymns appear in the books as stated but also that they are genuinely in use. I notice, for example, that of the generous 22 in Congregational Praise, only two have not been used in my own church during the past 3½ years, and over a longer period all 22 have certainly been sung. I should doubt whether any of the hymns in 'common use' has turned out in practice to be a non-starter. The secret of this is partly in the remarkable reliability of Montgomery when he is on his best form, and partly in the fact that he always, in this 'canon' of 31, uses metres which are easily set to music. Of these 31 only 'Praise the Lord through every nation' is in a peculiar metre, and that is the metre of WAGNER'S, which is at last really getting the honour in Britain that it deserves.

This does mean that probably no hymn of Montgomery's ever inspired a great tune. They all seem, in the books, to take their tunes from the pool from which other hymns mostly in psalm tune metres take theirs. Only a few have settled down with tunes of their own. 'Stand up and bless the Lord' is on the whole a predictable partner for CARLISLE, 'Be known to us in breaking bread' of BELMONT and 'Angels from the realms of glory' of LEWES or of IRIS: but all these tunes were written in other contexts and may be found with other words. The one exception to this generalisation is perhaps 'Songs of praise the angels sang', which has inspired three twentieth century tunes: Martin Shaw's RILEY (SP 644) was a holler in setting the hymn in eight-line verses; its sense simply predates that. NORTHAMPTON (AM 556) achieved a wide popularity in the constituency served by that book without convincing many other editors of its inevitability; and John Wilson's LAUDS (HS 64), certainly the most imaginative setting of this text, is now taking off for what one hopes will be a very successful journey. But then 'Songs of praise' is an unusually challenging text—it is a hymn which some editors understandably thought trivial enough to omit (the Americans don't take much to it and the Baptists and BBC left it out), but in which others see special distinction. Myself I have always felt that given the right tune (LAUDS is to me the right tune) it displays unusual and welcome richness, especially as a hymn for use just after Christmas.

That is, however, not what Montgomery usually does. He is normally in the best sense 'plain'. And just as only that hymn has inspired a good tune of its own, so it is fair to say that few if any of his hymns have been wedded with really lamentable partners. The most eminent example of that is 'For ever with the Lord', which on the whole was ruined by its association with an unusually dreadful tune. Probably it was that tune (which R.V.W. admitted to the first edition of EH) which diminished its chances of being a hundred per cent 'winner' editorial choice. There was real danger at one time that a tune called THANKSGIVING would wreck the chances of 'Hark, the song of jubilee', but at least one or two editors have recognised that the proper tune, in the sense of being the tune originally written for that hymn, is ST GEORGE'S, WINDSOR: and in those circles (I myself move in them) in which 'Come ye thankful people come' arouses awkward questions at harvest time it is the more useful to be able to keep Elvey's tune alive: indeed, it is a commonplace tune in its own right, but it does offer an unexpected flexibility and strength as a setting of Montgomery's second verse (see e.g. CP 335 v 2).

The remarkable consistency with which editors have made their selections from Montgomery is sufficient testimony to his central position between those who used to be distinguished as evangelicals and catholics. There is a directness and a passion in his hymns which the 'Tractarians would have viewed with suspicion: Hymns Ancient and Modern in its first full edition of 1861 took only three of his hymns: 'Songs of praise', 'Hail to the Lord's Anointed' and 'Lord, pour thy spirit' (thus always altered in that book). The 'standard' edition with second supplement, almost exactly three
times as capacious, included thirteen, of which the 1930 edition omitted 'O Spirit of the living God' and 'Praise the Lord through every nation'. The English Hymnal was similarly cautious, but it could not resist including both the famous teaching hymns on prayer [78, 474]. The more domestic hymns of Montgomery died earliest: but his remarkable gift for combining authentic Christian teaching with missionary passion saw to it that in EH he has only one hymn fewer than Isaac Watts. There were others who rose to greater heights—and plumbed greater depths to be sure: what distinguished Montgomery, on the evidence of hymn books published from 100 to 150 years after he was writing, in his centrality. He maintained equally stable relations with catholic teaching of the church and with the evangelical passion of the revivalists. He wears remarkably well. Of contemporary hymn books it is only the Cambridge Hymnal that entirely overshadows him. There is nothing very surprising about that.

PERCY BUCK (1871–1947) A CENTENARY ASSESSMENT

BY LEONARD BLAKE

The current decade provides us with a large number of important hymnological centenaries. Hymn-writers and translators and hymn-tune composers born during the 1870's include C. A. Alington, G. W. Briggs, Geoffrey and Martin Shaw, Sydney Nicholson, Holst and Vaughan Williams; among those who died in the same period were Sir H. W. Baker, Edward Caswall, Catherine Winkworth, John Chandler, H. J. Gauntlett, Henry Smart, and S. S. Wesley. From previous centuries we shall be bound to recall at least Watts (b. 1674), Charles Coffin (b. 1656), William Croft (b. 1678), Montgomery (b. 1711), and Paul Gerhardt (d. 1676).

A less commanding figure than some of these, perhaps, but one whose work as a tune-writer entitles him to both recollection and some re-assessment on the centenary of his birth, was Sir Percy Carter Buck (b. 25th March 1871, d. 3rd October, 1947). Nurtured under Parry at the R.C.M., and then coming strongly under the influence of W. H. Hadow at Worcester College, Oxford, Buck was a life-long exponent of what Frank Howes has described as "the broad humane attitude to music and to musical education" which stemmed from those great figures of the English musical renaissance. He was organist for a few years successively at Wells and Bristol cathedrals before taking up in 1901 the directorship of music at Harrow School, with which his name has always been most firmly linked, and it was the special circumstances of Harrow Chapel that provided the incentive for nearly all his hymn-tune writing. He wrote little else in the way of church music: some organ works, of which only the charming preludes on 'In dulci jubilo' and

'The holly and the ivy' survive, two or three chants, and a handful of short anthems, though he played an important part with Fellowes and others in editing Tudor Church Music (1923) under the auspices of the Carnegie Trust.

The booklet Fourteen Hymn Tunes, published by Stainer and Bell in 1913 but long since out of print, is the core of Buck's work in this field. In a preface he explains how he had found unison singing to be the rule at Harrow, but that insufficient supply of tunes suitable for this purpose in "services which demand a dozen hymns every week". "The wisdom of John Farmer had provided a large number of chorales, which I inherited with gratitude", he says; then follow some observations which are worth quoting in full, for they reveal the source of features which give Buck's tunes a special place in the hymnody of his period:

"My first thoughts turned to Plainsong, which I have always thought the most beautiful form of unison-singing conceivable. A very few experiments, however, convinced me that this was too delicate a porcelain to be handled by such promiscuous body of singers. The experience, nevertheless, taught me two truths which I had not suspected. I found that a large body of untrained singers could readily learn to sing in irregular rhythm: that is to say, they rather relished the departure from the adamant four bar phrases of the normal hymn-tune. And I found, further, that they appreciated and sang well those little groups of notes on single syllables which are characteristic of melismatic Plainsong. In most of the tunes here printed one or the other of these features is incorporated, and they present little or no difficulty to the boys in actual performance."

I remember an afternoon in one of Buck's classes at the R.C.M., when he was talking, with that disarmingly easy, blue-eyed smile behind which we knew lurked an intensely acute and wise mind, about what made a good tune. To illustrate rhythmic monotony he sat down and played through Melcombe with metronomic rigidity, and asked us how we liked it. I have always thought that he was a little unfair to Melcombe, but there could have been no more vivid indication of his own ideals of rhythmic freedom in hymn-singing, and the need to escape from stereotyped 'minimity'.

The Fourteen Tunes† include several which have found a place

*He contributed harmonisations of four tunes (Nos. 18, 133, 153, and 156) to A Plainsong Hymn Book (Clowes, 1932).

†The names by which some of them are known in hymn books do not appear in this original collection; each is headed by the first line of words. Only one verse is given below each tune. The pages are printed only on one side, and are perforated, presumably so that they could be detached for more convenient use with books containing the complete words.
in standard hymnals, though only GONFALON ROYAL and MARTINS (alias ST SEBASTIAN) have been adopted at all widely. The former, written originally for 'Vexilla regis prodeunt' (hence its proud name), can easily be described as Buck's most popular tune, and has been set to a bewildering variety of hymns. Its fine forward thrust is greatly helped by the harmonic movement at the end of its first two phrases—the cadence in the dominant not being allowed to stand still; by the 'run-on' from the third to the fourth phrase; and by its melodic sweep, strongly characterised by the interval of the fourth. The melismatic half-note groups, obviously influenced by plainsong, are the final cadence and AMEN. MARTINS, as pitifully joyous an utterance as could be imagined for the citizens of heaven with their 'endless Alleluia', has the same free sense of adventure, combined with singleness—a lightness of touch which is found equally, if less exuberantly, in RESURRECTION MORNING (EH 136) and DRAW NIGHT (EH 307). Note the melismata in these. DULCIS MEMORIA appears in CLARENDON and HCS (its opening rhythm slightly altered in the latter), as allowed by the composer for the WORKSHOP COLLEGE HYMN BOOK, 1938, where it is set to 'My God, and is thy table spread?'. It shows the plainsong influence most sensitively, yet entirely without conscious archaisms: there is a 'run-on' from the third to the fourth phrase similar to that in GONFALON ROYAL, and the way the melody then quietly folds back on itself is masterly.

A very different affair from this is JUDICUM, reprinted among the Fourteen Tunes by permission of the Proprietors of the Public School Hymn Book, since Buck had written it for the Latin 'Dies Irae' in the first edition of that book (1903). Not only is it now to be found in PSHE's successor, HCS (140) and in Clarendon (236); it is one of the very few tunes of its generation, and the only one by a public school composer, to have achieved a place in The Cambridge Hymnal—to sombre lines by T. S. Eliot. It moves with measured tread and strong, emotive harmonies to a final breakaway from rhythmic regularity which is most effective. Unfortunately the expressive directions, 'Quire slowly', piano, and markings for crescendo and diminuendo—presumably Buck's own—were in PSHE have not been preserved in the later reprints, for they are a clear warning against a heavy, thumping treatment. One of the most striking features of Buck's work is that he constantly avoided the pounding heartiness, the blatant bonhommie, and the overdone climatic effects to which public school composers have all too often been prone. It may be added that in the same way, despite his predilection for plainsong, he never affected a 'folky' idiom.

The hymns to which the remainder of the Fourteen Tunes are set are: the Latin 'Stabat Mater' (a broad sinuous melody, economical in construction, using both melisma and modulation with typical grace); 'Sweet the moments, rich in blessing' (marked 'For treble voices'; delightfully fresh and simple-hearted); 'Drop, drop, slow tears' (a not unworthy match for Gibbons' SONG 46); TENNYSON'S 'Strong Son of God'; three evening hymns—'O Strength and Stay', 'Saviour, again to thy dear Name we raise', and 'Sweet Saviour, bless us ere we go' (public schools have now largely lost the tradition of fervent communal Evensong to which these tunes eloquently testify); and finally, 'Praise God for Martin blest' (a saint's day hymn by Isaiah Baynes Badcock in the unusual metre 64.64.64.64. The concise, vigorous tune seems to cry out for some dynamic words on a contemporary theme; it could very easily become popular).

The HARROW SCHOOL HYMN BOOK, which first appeared in 1855, had gone through five editions before Buck's arrival in 1901. It was revised again in 1908 and 1927—the year of his retirement from the school. The seventh edition contained five of the Fourteen Tunes and seven others by him, Monte RICHARD, originally written for 'Ride on, ride on in majesty', is in SP (145) and EH (623). Its limited compass and economy of material, with Buck's favourite device of folding the melody back on itself gives it an austere strength. It is finally suited to massed unison singing but, as usual, he holds public school heartiness tactfully in check. HAMILTON HOUSE (Harrow 142, to 'Fight the good fight') and GLENCOT (224, to 'All hail the power') seem more self-conscious in the effort to avoid foursquare marching rhythms. No doubt Buck was always aware that the freedom and subtlety which presented little difficulty to his schoolboys, with opportunities for regular practice, would not necessarily come off with the heterogeneous, unrehearsed congregations of ordinary churches. The one tune, HERGA, which he did write for a general hymn book (AM 1904—but it is not preserved in AMR)* is wholly in 'adamant four bar phrases'.

Of the other tunes in the Harrow Book, ST BARNABAS (126, to 'O Son of God, our Captain of Salvation') is entirely straightforward, built on a single melodic idea. MILLFORD (15) enfolds 'Sun of my soul' with the warmth of D flat major—another contribution to the evening hymn repertory—while avoiding both a too obvious rhythm and over-ripe harmony. As in many other instances, Buck keeps his harmonic scheme fresh by eschewing a central full close in the dominant. DULCOT (80, to Herrick's 'In the hour of my distress') and PATER NOSTER (4, to 'My Father, for another night') show him in his most sensitive and unpretentious manner, yet each says something distinctive about its familiar metre. The latter tune was published separately in 1926 by the Year Book Press, with varied accompaniment for alternate verses.†

*AM (Standard edition) 711.
†Buck wrote two versions of ST MAGNUS for the AM publication A Selection of Tunes with varied harmonies (1912), both of them more restrained than many of the elaborate affairs in that book!
The special conditions for which Buck’s tunes were written encouraged him to pursue characteristics which may limit their common usefulness. Some may strike the man in the pew as too much like ‘musicians music’. Some are allied to words which may now have had their day, and might not remarry with other words as easily as GONFALON ROYAL. But among the total of twenty odd there is not one without interest. They cover a very wide expressive range, from the joyousness of MARTINS to the solemnity of JUDICUM and the intimacy of DULCES MEMORIA. They show skill and imagination in dealing with a great variety of metres. The conventional minor movement of HERCA does not lessen one’s respect for such confident treatment—in ternary from—of a twelve-line stanza in 8/4. The six M/ tunes are as different from one another in rhythm as pattern as they are from the MELANCHO which I heard him pillory.

If nothing by Buck has made quite the impact of a Laudate Dominum (Perry, a THORNSBURY, a DOWN AMPUTEE of SINK NOME, his work, seen as a whole, gives him a high place among hymn-tune composers of his period. He speaks with a distinctive voice, and always with grace, among such figures as Perry, Stanford, Harwood, Walford Davies, Nicholson, the Shaw, and Vaughan Williams, and in these days when conventional patterns in church music are increasingly suspect his freshness of approach may well commend him to further consideration by editors.

REFERENCE LIST FOR BUCK’S TUNES in some current books (provided by the Editor) with the first line of the text for which they were written.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tune</th>
<th>Harrow</th>
<th>EH</th>
<th>AM</th>
<th>HCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dulcis Jesu Memoria, L.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dulcis Jesu Memoria)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulciv. 7-17-6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(In the hour of my distress)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draw nigh (EVENLODE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Draw nigh and take the Body)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>397</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glocotc. C.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(All hail the power)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonfalon Royal, L.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(The royal banners)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Bures, L.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fight the good fight)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From whose copy of the Fourteen Tunes, inscribed “With kindest remembrances, P.C.B.”, & now in the College Library at Addington Place, I have been able to work in preparing this article—L.B.

HERCA, 87, 87, triple (Christians, sing the incarnation)
JUDICUM, 888,888 trochaic (Dias Iac)
MARTINS (St. Sebastian) 10.10.7 (Sing Alleluia forth)
MELLIFONT, L.M. (Sun of my soul) 18
MONT RICHARD, L.M. (Ride on, ride on in majesty) 633
PATER NOSTER, C.M. (My Father, for another night) 4
RESURRECTION MORNING, 8.7-8.3 (On the Resurrection Morning) 136
STABAT MATER, 8.8.7,8.8.7 (Stabat Mater) 87
ST BARNABAS, 11.10.10.10 (O Son of God, our Captain) 126

REVIEW: AN ESSAY IN LOCAL HYMNOLGY

The Reverend D. M. Sale, formerly Vicar of Holy Trinitym, Southwell, and now of Selborne, Hants, has with loving assiduity compiled a history of Nottinghamshire hymn writers under the title, HYMN WRITERS OF NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND THEIR HYMNS, copies of the typescript of which have been deposited with the Nottingham City and County Libraries. In 14 short chapters (57 pages of typescript) he has listed all the hymn-writers who had some discernible association with places in the county, and provides also a helpful map of places referred to in his text.

Some of the hymn writers are obscure; some, to judge by the samples of their work he quotes, it is harder to leave in obscurity, but there are not a few whose names are familiar to to-day’s hymn singers: the translators A. J. Mason and R. F. Davis, for example (R. F. Davis did the translation of Corde Natus at EH 613 which is now so well known from its use at the King's College carol service). Another EH name is that of Canon T. A. Lacey, and not all of us remembered that G. W. Briggs was a Nottinghamshire man. It's something of a surprise to come across Lord Byron, whom one didn't think was the hymn writer but whose HEBREW MELODIES has a substantial section.
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Personally I think the importance of these 'county histories' of hymnody is less in reminding us of the curious doggerel which the less felicitous hymnographers produced than in recalling the social associations of hymn-singing and hymn-composition. Nearly all the writers Mr Sale mentions are nineteenth-century or earlier—I believe that Briggs is the youngest—and so their work comes from the ducal and agricultural period of Nottinghamshire's history. They are mostly parsons, so we can gather from their writings what sort of theology was being taught to the congregations in those parts. This is an aspect of history which could receive more attention; perhaps Mr Sale could have brought out this point a little more. There is work to be done on the answer to the question 'what sort of culture did our hymns emerge from, and to what sort did they speak?' Because if that question is studied we shall be enabled to see the productions of our own minor hymn-explosion of the 1960s a little more objectively. A man writes a hymn because it will say to his people what he wants to say and what he believes his hymn book doesn't say; so a study of the man and of the people is certainly not without value. We may well ask nowadays what inspires our hymnographers to write as they do, and if we see ourselves as part of continuing history we—not least the hymn-writers themselves—shall see what our limitations are, and how temporary are those pressures which we see as clamorous demands.

Mr Sale has done a devoted and valuable piece of work, and researchers will be glad that it has been made available.

E.R.
PERSONAL CONFESSION
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